Native range: China and Japan	Species Dataform and Scoresheet			
Native range: China and Japan Date evaluated: March 9, 2009 Answer Choices Response	Camellia ianonica L. (Camellia)			
Date evaluated: March 9, 2009 Septiments Normants: North Carolina and Japan (Weakley 2008). Normants: Normants:				
Introductory Questions				
Introductory Questions Current federal and state regulations Y/N N	Date evaluated. March 7, 2007	Answer Choices	Response	
Current federal and state regulations Comments:	Introductory Questions	THIS WELL CHOICES	response	
Comments: Prequently cultivated and popular ornamental plant. 3. North Carolina nativity Y/N N Comments: Native to China and Japan (Weakley 2008). 4. Presence in natural areas Y/N N Comments: Sometimes persistent around old home sites (Weakley 2008). 5. Non-invasive cultivars Y/N N Comments: Assessment indicates that C. japonica is noninvasive in North Carolina. Maximum Point Value Number of Points Assigned		Y/N	N	
2. Occurrence in the horticultural trade Comments: Frequently cultivated and popular ornamental plant. 3. North Carolina nativity Comments: Native to China and Japan (Weakley 2008). 4. Presence in natural areas Y/N Comments: Sometimes persistent around old home sites (Weakley 2008). 5. Non-invasive cultivars Y/N Comments: Assessment indicates that C. japonica is noninvasive in North Carolina. Maximum Point Value Section 1. Ecological Impact 1a. Impact on abiotic ecosystem processes 10 Comments: No known abiotic ecosystem impacts. 1b. Impact on plant community structure 20 5 Comments: Successful understory plants in deciduous forests (Reiley, 2001). Camellia japonica is slow-growing, but in grouped plantings, they create an effective screen (Gilman, 1999). 1c. Impact on species of special concern 5 0 Comments: No known impact on species of special concern or threatened or endangered plants. 1d. Impact on higher trophic levels Section 1. Subrank 40 5 Comments: No known impact on higher trophic levels. Section 2. Current Distribution and Potential for Expansion 2a. Local range expansion 7 0 Comments: No known expansion into natural areas. 2b. Long-distance dispersal potential Comments: This species is not dispersed naturally long distances. 2c. Reproductive characteristics 8 2 Comments: Fruits are dry and hard, not fleshy (Gilman 1999). Propagation is by seed or cuttings (Gilman 1999).		2721		
Comments: Frequently cultivated and popular ornamental plant. 3. North Carolina nativity Y/N N Comments: Native to China and Japan (Weakley 2008). 4. Presence in natural areas Y/N N Comments: Sometimes persistent around old home sites (Weakley 2008). 5. Non-invasive cultivars Y/N Y Comments: Assessment indicates that C. japonica is noninvasive in North Carolina. Maximum Point Value Number of Points Assigned		Y/N	Y	
3. North Carolina nativity			<u>-</u>	
Comments: Native to China and Japan (Weakley 2008). 4. Presence in natural areas Y/N N Comments: Sometimes persistent around old home sites (Weakley 2008). 5. Non-invasive cultivars Y/N Y Comments: Assessment indicates that C. japonica is noninvasive in North Carolina. Maximum Point Value Number of Points Value Assigned			N	
A. Presence in natural areas	v		,	
Comments: Sometimes persistent around old home sites (Weakley 2008). 5. Non-invasive cultivars Y/N Comments: Assessment indicates that C. japonica is noninvasive in North Carolina. Maximum Point Value Section 1. Ecological Impact 1a. Impact on abiotic ecosystem processes 10 Comments: No known abiotic ecosystem impacts. 1b. Impact on plant community structure 20 5 Comments: Successful understory plants in deciduous forests (Reiley, 2001). Camellia japonica is slow-growing, but in grouped plantings, they create an effective screen (Gilman, 1999). 1c. Impact on species of special concern 5 0 Comments: No known impact on species of special concern or threatened or endangered plants. 1d. Impact on higher trophic levels 5 0 Comments: No known impact on higher trophic levels. Section 1. Subrank 40 5 Section 2. Current Distribution and Potential for Expansion 2a. Local range expansion 7 0 Comments: No known expansion into natural areas. 2b. Long-distance dispersal potential 13 0 Comments: This species is not dispersed naturally long distances. 2c. Reproductive characteristics 8 2 Comments: Fruits are dry and hard, not fleshy (Gilman 1999). Propagation is by seed or cuttings (Gilman 1999).			N	
5. Non-invasive cultivars Y/N Y Comments: Assessment indicates that C. japonica is noninvasive in North Carolina. Maximum Point Value Number of Points Assigned Section 1. Ecological Impact 10 0 1a. Impact on abiotic ecosystem processes 10 0 Comments: No known abiotic ecosystem impacts. 20 5 1b. Impact on plant community structure 20 5 Comments: Successful understory plants in deciduous forests (Reiley, 2001). Camellia japonica is slow-growing, but in grouped plantings, they create an effective screen (Gilman, 1999). 5 1c. Impact on species of special concern 5 0 Comments: No known impact on species of special concern or threatened or endangered plants. 5 0 1d. Impact on higher trophic levels 5 0 Comments: No known impact on higher trophic levels. 5 0 Section 1. Subrank 40 5 Section 2. Current Distribution and Potential for Expansion 7 0 Comments: No known expansion into natural areas. 2 2b. Long-distance dispersal potential 13 0 Comments: This species is not dispersed naturally long distances. 2 2c. Reproductive charact		sites (Weakley 2008).	
Comments: Assessment indicates that C. japonica is noninvasive in North Carolina. Maximum Point Value Number of Points Value Assigned				
Section 1. Ecological ImpactMaximum Point ValueNumber of Points Assigned1a. Impact on abiotic ecosystem processes100Comments: No known abiotic ecosystem impacts.2051b. Impact on plant community structure205Comments: Successful understory plants in deciduous forests (Reiley, 2001). Camellia japonica is slow-growing, but in grouped plantings, they create an effective screen (Gilman, 1999).1c. Impact on species of special concern50Comments: No known impact on species of special concern or threatened rendangered plants.501d. Impact on higher trophic levels50Comments: No known impact on higher trophic levels50Section 1. Subrank405Section 2. Current Distribution and Potential for Expansion70Comments: No known expansion into natural areas302a. Local range expansion70Comments: This species is not dispersed naturally long distances.822c. Reproductive characteristics82Comments: Fruits are dry and hard, not fleshy (Gilman 1999). Propagation is by seed or cuttings (Gilman 1999).		is noninvasive in Nor	th Carolina.	
Section 1. Ecological Impact Ia. Impact on abiotic ecosystem processes 10 0 0	<i>J</i> 1			
Section 1. Ecological Impact Ia. Impact on abiotic ecosystem processes 10 0 0		Value	Assigned	
Ia. Impact on abiotic ecosystem processes 10 0 Comments: No known abiotic ecosystem impacts. 20 5 Ib. Impact on plant community structure 20 5 Comments: Successful understory plants in deciduous forests (Reiley, 2001). Camellia japonica is slow-growing, but in grouped plantings, they create an effective screen (Gilman, 1999). 1c. Impact on species of special concern 5 0 Comments: No known impact on species of special concern or threatened or endangered plants. 5 0 1d. Impact on higher trophic levels 5 0 Comments: No known impact on higher trophic levels. 5 0 Section 1. Subrank 40 5 Section 2. Current Distribution and Potential for Expansion 7 0 2a. Local range expansion 7 0 Comments: No known expansion into natural areas. 2 2b. Long-distance dispersal potential 13 0 Comments: This species is not dispersed naturally long distances. 2 2c. Reproductive characteristics 8 2 Comments: Fruits are dry and hard, not fleshy (Gilman 1999). Propagation is by seed or cuttings (Gilman 1999).	Section 1. Ecological Impact		C	
Comments: No known abiotic ecosystem impacts.		10	0	
1b. Impact on plant community structure 20 5	Comments: No known abiotic ecosystem impacts.			
Japonica is slow-growing, but in grouped plantings, they create an effective screen (Gilman, 1999). 1c. Impact on species of special concern 5		20	5	
Comments: No known impact on species of special concern 5 0	Comments: Successful understory plants in decidue	ous forests (Reiley, 20	001). Camellia	
1c. Impact on species of special concern50Comments: No known impact on species of special concern or threatened or endangered plants.501d. Impact on higher trophic levels50Comments: No known impact on higher trophic levels.5Section 1. Subrank405Section 2. Current Distribution and Potential for Expansion702a. Local range expansion70Comments: No known expansion into natural areas.2b. Long-distance dispersal potential130Comments: This species is not dispersed naturally long distances.2c. Reproductive characteristics82Comments: Fruits are dry and hard, not fleshy (Gilman 1999). Propagation is by seed or cuttings (Gilman 1999).	japonica is slow-growing, but in grouped plantings	, they create an effec	tive screen	
Comments: No known impact on species of special concern or threatened or endangered plants. 1d. Impact on higher trophic levels 5 0 Comments: No known impact on higher trophic levels. Section 1. Subrank 40 5 Section 2. Current Distribution and Potential for Expansion 7 0 Comments: No known expansion into natural areas. 2b. Long-distance dispersal potential 13 0 Comments: This species is not dispersed naturally long distances. 2c. Reproductive characteristics 8 2 Comments: Fruits are dry and hard, not fleshy (Gilman 1999). Propagation is by seed or cuttings (Gilman 1999).	(Gilman, 1999).			
plants. 1d. Impact on higher trophic levels Comments: No known impact on higher trophic levels. Section 1. Subrank Section 2. Current Distribution and Potential for Expansion 2a. Local range expansion Comments: No known expansion into natural areas. 2b. Long-distance dispersal potential Comments: This species is not dispersed naturally long distances. 2c. Reproductive characteristics 8 2 Comments: Fruits are dry and hard, not fleshy (Gilman 1999). Propagation is by seed or cuttings (Gilman 1999).	1c. Impact on species of special concern	5	0	
1d. Impact on higher trophic levels50Comments: No known impact on higher trophic levels.Section 1. Subrank405Section 2. Current Distribution and Potential for Expansion702a. Local range expansion70Comments: No known expansion into natural areas.1302b. Long-distance dispersal potential130Comments: This species is not dispersed naturally long distances.2c. Reproductive characteristics82Comments: Fruits are dry and hard, not fleshy (Gilman 1999). Propagation is by seed or cuttings (Gilman 1999).	Comments: No known impact on species of special	concern or threatene	ed or endangered	
Comments: No known impact on higher trophic levels. Section 1. Subrank Section 2. Current Distribution and Potential for Expansion 2a. Local range expansion Comments: No known expansion into natural areas. 2b. Long-distance dispersal potential Comments: This species is not dispersed naturally long distances. 2c. Reproductive characteristics 8 2 Comments: Fruits are dry and hard, not fleshy (Gilman 1999). Propagation is by seed or cuttings (Gilman 1999).	plants.			
Section 1. Subrank405Section 2. Current Distribution and Potential for Expansion702a. Local range expansion70Comments: No known expansion into natural areas.1302b. Long-distance dispersal potential130Comments: This species is not dispersed naturally long distances.2c. Reproductive characteristics82Comments: Fruits are dry and hard, not fleshy (Gilman 1999). Propagation is by seed or cuttings (Gilman 1999).			0	
Section 2. Current Distribution and Potential for Expansion 2a. Local range expansion Comments: No known expansion into natural areas. 2b. Long-distance dispersal potential Comments: This species is not dispersed naturally long distances. 2c. Reproductive characteristics 8 2 Comments: Fruits are dry and hard, not fleshy (Gilman 1999). Propagation is by seed or cuttings (Gilman 1999).	Comments: No known impact on higher trophic lev	els.	,	
for Expansion702a. Local range expansion70Comments: No known expansion into natural areas.302b. Long-distance dispersal potential130Comments: This species is not dispersed naturally long distances.322c. Reproductive characteristics82Comments: Fruits are dry and hard, not fleshy (Gilman 1999). Propagation is by seed or cuttings (Gilman 1999).	Section 1. Subrank	40	5	
for Expansion702a. Local range expansion70Comments: No known expansion into natural areas.302b. Long-distance dispersal potential130Comments: This species is not dispersed naturally long distances.322c. Reproductive characteristics82Comments: Fruits are dry and hard, not fleshy (Gilman 1999). Propagation is by seed or cuttings (Gilman 1999).				
2a. Local range expansion70Comments: No known expansion into natural areas.2b. Long-distance dispersal potential130Comments: This species is not dispersed naturally long distances.2c. Reproductive characteristics82Comments: Fruits are dry and hard, not fleshy (Gilman 1999). Propagation is by seed or cuttings (Gilman 1999).				
Comments: No known expansion into natural areas. 2b. Long-distance dispersal potential Comments: This species is not dispersed naturally long distances. 2c. Reproductive characteristics 8 2 Comments: Fruits are dry and hard, not fleshy (Gilman 1999). Propagation is by seed or cuttings (Gilman 1999).				
2b. Long-distance dispersal potential 130Comments: This species is not dispersed naturally long distances. 2c. Reproductive characteristics 82Comments: Fruits are dry and hard, not fleshy (Gilman 1999). Propagation is by seed or cuttings (Gilman 1999).		· ·	0	
Comments: This species is not dispersed naturally long distances. 2c. Reproductive characteristics 8 2 Comments: Fruits are dry and hard, not fleshy (Gilman 1999). Propagation is by seed or cuttings (Gilman 1999).			T	
2c. Reproductive characteristics82Comments: Fruits are dry and hard, not fleshy (Gilman 1999). Propagation is by seed or cuttings (Gilman 1999).			0	
Comments: Fruits are dry and hard, not fleshy (Gilman 1999). Propagation is by seed or cuttings (Gilman 1999).			· -	
cuttings (Gilman 1999).			_	
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	man 1999). Propagati	on is by seed or	
	2d. Range of communities	6	0	

Comments: May be planted nearly throughout Nor	th Carolina (Gilman	1999).
2e. Similar habitats invaded elsewhere	6	0
Comments: Sensitivity to frost and freezing restric	ts the range of Camel	
Southeast and the Pacific Coast (Reiley 2001).	is the runge of currer	na species to the
Section 2. Subrank	40	2
Securit 2. Subtain	10	
Section 3. Management Difficulty		
3a. Herbicidal control	5	0
Comments: Herbicides will damage C. japonica, e	specially if applied to	the leaves (Reiley
2001)		`
3b. Nonchemical control methods	2	0
Comments: Digging around Camellia species will	damage shallow root	systems (Reiley
2001)		
3c. Necessity of individual treatments	2	2
Comments: Large shrubs or small trees (Reiley 200	01) would require ind	ividual treatments.
3d. Average distribution	2	0
Comments:		
3e. Likelihood for reestablishment	2	0
Comments:		
3f. Accessibility of invaded areas	2	0
Comments: Not know to invade natural areas.		
3g. Impact on native species and environment	5	0
Comments:		
Section 3. Subrank	20	2
Section 4. Benefits and Value		
4a. Estimated wholesale value	-7	-7
Comments: The estimated wholesale value of Cam	ellia species to the Ne	orth Carolina
nursery industry is > \$40 million (Trueblood 2009)).	
4b. Percentage of total sales	-5	-3
Comments: Among producers that sell Camellia sp	ecies, the highest per	centage of total
sales attributed to this species from any one growe	r in the state is estima	ited to be 11-25%
(Trueblood 2009).		
4d. Ecosystem services	-1	0
Comments:		
4e. Wildlife habitat	-1	0
Comments:		
4f. Cultural and social benefits	-1	0
Comments:		
Section 4. Subrank	-15	-10
Overall Score	100	-1
Overall Recommendation: Noninvasive and reco	mmended for use – T	hese species have
limited ecological impact, distribution and invasive		
relation to economic value. They may be locally pr	11 2 1 2 1 2	1 . 1 1 1

and other traits limit their rate of invasion to natural areas.

(Overall Score: 0 - 33)

Summary: Camellia japonica (Camellia) is noninvasive in North Carolina and may be recommended for horticultural use by the North Carolina Nursery and Landscape Association. Camellia species are not known to invade natural areas in North Carolina. They have little to no negative ecosystem impacts, low potential for long-distance dispersal, and may be easily removed from the landscape. Camellia species have extremely high economic value for the nursery industry in North Carolina.

References:

Gilman, E.F. (1999) Camellia japonica Fact Sheet FPS-97. Environmental Horticulture Department, Florida Cooperative Extension Service, Institute of Food and Agricultural Science, University of Florida. (http://hort.ufl.edu/shrubs/CAMJAPA.PDF) Accessed: March 9, 2009.

Reiley, H. E. (2001) Azaleas, Camellias, and Rhododendrons. Des Moines, IA: The Scotts Company.

Trueblood, C.E. (2009) Chapter 3. An estimate of the commercial value of potentially invasive ornamental nursery crops grown in North Carolina. In An Invasive Species Assessment System for the North Carolina Horticultural Industry, a thesis submitted to the Graduate Faculty of North Carolina State University. North Carolina State University, Raleigh, NC.

Weakley, A.S. "Flora of the Carolinas, Virginia, Georgia, northern Florida, and surrounding areas." University of North Carolina. Working draft. 7 April 2008.

Trueblood, C.E. 2009. Results of the North Carolina Invasive Species Assessment System and Individual Species Evaluations. In An Invasive Species Assessment System for the North Carolina Horticultural Industry. MS Thesis. North Carolina State University, Raleigh, pp. 95-97.