Species Dataform and Scoresheet for Albizia julibrissin Durazzini (Mimosa, Silktree).

Species Dataform and Scoresheet				
Albizia juilorissin Durazzini (Niimosa, siiktree)				
Native range: Asia				
Date evaluated: March 17, 2009		D		
	Answer Choices	Response		
Introductory Questions	TAT			
1. Current federal and state regulations	Y/N	N		
Comments: Appears on several invasive species lists (not laws) in the Southeastern U.S.,				
including Georgia (Top ten listed), South Carolina (Significant threat), Florida (General				
list), and Tennessee (Rank 1, Severe threat), Kentucky (Significant threat), Virginia				
(Medium invasiveness), and the National Forest Set	rvice (Category 1, sp	ecies known to be		
invasive and persistent) (Invasive.org 2009).	1	1		
2. Occurrence in the horticultural trade	Y/N	Y		
Comments:				
3. North Carolina nativity	Y/N	Ν		
Comments: Native to tropical Asia (Weakley 2008)				
4. Presence in natural areas	Y/N	Y		
Comments: Found in disturbed areas and suburban woodlots(Weakley 2008). Naturalized				
along road-sides throughout southeastern United St	ates (Pitman 2008).	Generally not		
found in natural areas.				
5. Non-invasive cultivars	Y/N	Ν		
Comments:				
	Maximum Point	Number of Points		
	Value	Assigned		
Section 1. Ecological Impact		8		
1a. Impact on abiotic ecosystem processes	10	0		
Comments: No known impacts on abiotic ecosyster	n processes.			
<b>1b. Impact on plant community structure</b>	20	5		
Comments: Generally a pioneer species that is intol	erant of shade (Paga	d 2005). Dense		
stands of mimosa, usually along roads or disturbed areas, can significantly reduce sunlight				
and available nutrients for native plants (Demers et al. 2008) Mimosa can become a				
serious competitor along riparian areas where seeds are easily transported (Pagad 2005).				
1c. Impact on species of special concern	5	0		
Comments: Strong competitor to native trees and sh	rubs (Demers et al. 2	2008), but impacts		
on species of special concern are unknown.				
1d. Impact on higher trophic levels	5	0		
Comments: No known impacts on higher trophic levels				
Section 1 Subrank	40	5		
South 1. Sublank	70	5		

Section 2. Current Distribution and Potential				
for Expansion				
2a. Local range expansion	7	1		
Comments: "Becoming a serious weed" (Weakley 2008).				
2b. Long-distance dispersal potential	13	8		
Comments: Seed spread from nearby ornamental pl	antings allows for vi	gorous		
establishment in other areas (Demers et al. 2008). S	eeds may be spread l	by water or wildlife		
that ingest the seeds (IFAS 2008). Fruits are flat and	d in pods. Problemat	ic along		
waterways where seeds easily transported by water	(IFAS 2008).			
2c. Reproductive characteristics	8	6		
Comments: Reproduces both vegetatively and by seed (Demers et al. 2008). Germination is				
limited by hardseededness, but no additional dorma	ncy factors are invol-	ved (Pitman 2008).		
Re-sprouts quickly if damaged, cut, or top-killed (E	Demers et al. 2008). F	roduces large seed		
crops (Demers et al. 2008). Produces root suckers (	Demers et al. 2008).	Seeds may be		
spread by water or wildlife that ingest the seeds (IF	AS 2008).			
2d. Range of communities	6	0		
Comments: Shade intolerant and seldom found in fo	prests with full canop	by cover (Pagad		
2005).				
2e. Similar habitats invaded elsewhere	6	0		
Comments:				
Section 2. Subrank	40	15		
Section 3. Management Difficulty				
3a. Herbicidal control	5	3		
Comments: Herbicides available for mimosa control	l include Garlon 4, C	Garlon 3A, Accord,		
and Transline (Demers et al. 2008). Chemical treatment	nents are most effect	ive if applied when		
seeds are present on the tree (Demers et al. 2008).				
<b>3b. Nonchemical control methods</b>	2	2		
Comments: Plants resprout quickly if damaged, cut	, or top-killed (Deme	ers et al. 2008).		
Chemical treatments are necessary for full control (Demers et al. 2008). No known				
biological control agents (IFAS 2008).				
<b>3c.</b> Necessity of individual treatments	2	2		
Comments: The majority of effective treatment methods using herbicides include basal-				
bark, cut stem, hack-n-squirt, and stem injections, but foliar applications are also effective				
(Demers et al. 2008).				
3d. Average distribution	2	1		
Comments: Mimosa is a small to medium sized tree that may form dense stands (Demers et				
al. 2008).				
3e. Likelihood for reestablishment	2	2		
Comments: Plants resprout quickly if cut and may grow up to 3 feet in a single growing				
season (Demers et al. 2008). Seeds may remain dormant for many years (IFAS 2008).				

3f. Accessibility of invaded areas	2	1		
Comments: Often found along streamside and riparian areas (Pagad 2005) which may be				
difficult to reach.				
<b>3g. Impact on native species and environment</b>	5	2		
Comments: Herbicides may damage or kill nontarget plants.				
Section 3. Subrank	20	13		
Section 4. Benefits and Value				
4a. Estimated wholesale value	-7	-1		
Comments: The annual estimated wholesale value a	attributed to this spec	ies is \$187,600		
(Trueblood 2009).				
4b. Percentage of total sales	-5	-1		
Comments: Among the producers that sell this species, the highest percentage of total sales				
attributed to this species from any one grower is estimated to be 1-5% (Trueblood 2009).				
4d. Ecosystem services	-1	0		
Comments:				
4e. Wildlife habitat	-1	0		
Comments:				
4f. Cultural and social benefits	-1	0		
Comments:				
Section 4. Subrank	-15	-2		
Overall Score	100	31		
<b>Overall Recommendation</b> : Noninvasive and recommended for use – These species have				
limited ecological impact, distribution and invasive potential, and management difficulty in				
relation to economic value. They may be locally problematic but their reproductive biology				
and other traits limit their rate of invasion to natural areas. (Overall Score: $0 - 33$ )				
Summary: Albizia julibrissin (Mimosa) is noninvasive in North Carolina and may be				
recommended for horticultural use by the North Carolina Nursery and Landscape				
Association. Mimosa rarely invades natural areas. This species is shade intolerant and				
naturalizes primarily along roadsides and other disturbed areas. Mimosa has minimal				
ecological impacts in natural areas. Seeds may be spread from ornamental plantings. The				
difficulty of managing mimosa is moderate considering the availability of control methods,				
but management may be costly considering the time and labor required to effectively treat				
stands of mimosa. This species has low economic value to the nursery industry.				

## **References:**

Demers, C., Long. A., and Williams, R. (2008) Controlling invasive exotic plants in North Florida forests. SS-FOR19. Institute of Food and Agricultural Sciences, University of Florida. (http://edis.ifas.ufl.edu) Accessed March 17, 2009.

Early Detection and Distribution Mapping System (EDD Maps). (2008) University of Georgia, Center for Invasive Species and Ecosystem Health. (http://www.eddmaps.org) Accessed March 17, 2009.

Invasive.org: The Bugwood Network, USDA Forest Service, and USDA APHIS PPQ. (2009a) Invasive Plants of the Thirteen Southern States. (http://www.invasive.org/south/seweeds.cfm) Accessed March 24, 2009.

Pagad, S. (2005) Global Invasive Species Database (http://www.issg.org/database/) Accessed March 17, 2009.

Pitman, W.D. (2008) Establishment and regrowth responses of Albizia julibrissin on Louisiana USA coastal plain soils. Agroforest Syst 74: 259-266.

Shafale, M.P. and A.S. Weakley. (1990) Classification of the Natural Communities of North Carolina. 3rd Approximation. North Carolina Natural Heritage Program. Raleigh, NC.

Trueblood, C.E. (2009) Chapter 3. An estimate of the commercial value of potentially invasive ornamental nursery crops grown in North Carolina. In An Invasive Species Assessment System for the North Carolina Horticultural Industry, a thesis submitted to the Graduate Faculty of North Carolina State University. North Carolina State University, Raleigh, NC.

University of Florida, Institute for Food and Agricultural Sciences (IFAS), Center for Aquatic and Invasive Plants. (2008) (http://aquat1.ifas.ufl.edu/node/29) Accessed March 17, 2009.

Weakley, A.S. "Flora of the Carolinas, Virginia, Georgia, northern Florida, and surrounding areas." University of North Carolina. Working draft. 7 April 2008.

Trueblood, C.E. 2009. Results of the North Carolina Invasive Species Assessment System and Individual Species Evaluations. In An Invasive Species Assessment System for the North Carolina Horticultural Industry. MS Thesis. North Carolina State University, Raleigh, pp. 80-83.